MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO.3881 OF 1989

Central Bank of India Plaintiffs
versus
M/s.Shikharji Development Corpn.
and others Defendants

Mr.F.Sayyed i/by M.K.Ambalal & Co. for plaintiffs

Mr.Dhananjay Shukla i/by M.U.Panday for defendants 1 and 2.

Mr.Amol Deokar for defendant no.5.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DATE : 03rd September 2007

PC :

1. Counsel for plaintiff submits that in spite of repeated reminders no instructions are forthcoming. He submits that he is advised to take discharge from the matter. He states that in fact his office has already returned all the papers to the plaintiffs long back. If so, the plaintiff was expected to make alternate arrangement.

2. Counsel for the defendants, on the other

MST

hand, submits that the suit claim has already been satisfied. The amount has already been paid to the plaintiffs. Perhaps that is the reason why no instructions are forthcoming from the plaintiffs.

3. Be that as it may, the suit is dismissed for non prosecution.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.)